UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,243	01/21/2000	CHARLES R. HASKINS	064385-5030	3704
, - <del>-</del>	7590 02/24/201 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER		
	LVANIA AVENUE N	W	SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/381,243	HASKINS ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview dammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3695
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RC</u>	<u>E</u>
(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.	(3)	
(2) <u>(Kevin) Xin He (Reg. No. 51,794)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 February 2010	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Narayanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695  (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian indicated possible 101 rejections for claims 9 and 19 in the RCE and suggested claim language to overcome the rejections. The Examiner also indicated that claim 9 may be allowable if re-written to include all the amended limitations of claim 19. Attorney He agreed to consider the suggestions and file a supplemental amendment after consulting his client.